

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
GREENVILLE DIVISION

MONIQUE DOSS and  
NADIA HARRIS

v.

PLAINTIFFS

NPC INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
A & D MANAGEMENT CO., LLC,  
d/b/a PIZZA HUT and SHANE BROWN

Case No.  
4:09-CV-00038-M-S

DEFENDANTS

CONSOLIDATED

MONIQUE DOSS, ET AL.,

v.

PLAINTIFFS

Case No. 4:09-CV-076-P-S

NPC INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
d/b/a PIZZA HUT

DEFENDANT

MONIQUE DOSS, NADIA HARRI, SHAVONDA  
GIBBS, ROCHELLE CHILDS, DARREN CHILDS,  
LADARIUS JOHNSON, TAMARA GREEN, DARIUS  
WEST, ROGER HAWKINS, TAKEERA JOHNSON,  
LEVAN HARRIS, JOSEPH DOSS, TYNEETA DOSS,  
JUSTIN CHILDS, A MINOR BY AND THROUGH  
BRENDA CHILDS AS NEXT FRIEND OF AND  
NATURAL GUARDIAN, NANCY POINTER, MISHAY  
HAMPTON, AND TARMEISHA HAMPTON

CONSOLIDATED

v.

PLAINTIFFS

Case No. 4:10-CV-003-P-S

NPC INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
d/b/a PIZZA HUT

DEFENDANT

CONSOLIDATED

KIMEYATTER POINTER, A MINOR BY AND  
THROUGH NANCY POINTER AS NEXT OF FRIEND  
AND NATURAL GUARDIAN,

v.

PLAINTIFFS

Case No. 4:10-CV-002-P-S

NPC INTERNATIONAL, INC., dba PIZZA HUT

DEFENDANT

**ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES**

This matter is before the court on motion of the defendants to consolidate the cases listed

above. Having duly considered the submissions of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, the court is of the opinion that the motion should be granted.

The court finds that the claims of the parties in the above referenced cases, *Doss et al v. NPC International, Inc. et al.*, Cause No.4:09-cv-00038-M-S, *Doss et al v. NPC International, Inc.*, Cause No. 4:09-cv-00076-S, *Pointer v. NPC International, Inc.*, Cause No. 4:10-cv-00002-P-S, and *Doss et al v. NPC International, Inc.*, Cause No. 4:10-cv-00003-P-S, arise out of the same transaction or occurrence and involve similar questions of law and fact. Additionally, all cases involve the same attorneys for the parties and they involve, in some cases, identical parties. The plaintiffs object to consolidation of the cases but offer no evidence of prejudice should the cases be consolidated. Because these cases share similar parties and claims and because any prejudice to the plaintiff is speculative at best, these cases should be consolidated in the interest of judicial economy.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the defendants' motion to consolidate is hereby GRANTED, and these cases are hereby consolidated for all purposes, including trial. Cause No.4:09-cv-00038-M-S shall be designated as the lead case, and all filings shall be made in that case. The court directs the Clerk to proceed accordingly.

SO ORDERED, this the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March 2010.

/s/ David A. Sanders  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE